



## Defining Public Value: Theoretical Frameworks and Practical Implications

Asmara Irfan<sup>1\*</sup>, Abdul Sami<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Azman Hashim International Business School, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia<sup>117</sup>

\* Corresponding author: [asmairifan85@gmail.com](mailto:asmairifan85@gmail.com)

### Abstract

Public value is a critical concept in the field of public administration, governance, and policy-making. This paper aims to define public value, explore its theoretical frameworks, and analyze its practical implications for public sector organizations. By synthesizing existing literature, we will elucidate the multi-dimensional nature of public value, examine its relevance in contemporary governance, and offer insights into how public value can be effectively measured and communicated.

**Keywords:** Public Value; Public Value Theory; Institutional Theory; Stakeholder Theory

### ARTICLE INFORMATION

Received: 1 July 2018  
Revised: 1 November 2018  
Accepted: 13 November 2018

DOI:  
10.31580/jpavi.v1i1.3127

© Readers Insight Publication

### INTRODUCTION

The notion of public value has gained prominence in discussions about the role of government and public institutions. Public value can be broadly understood as the value that public sector organizations create for society, encompassing economic, social, and environmental dimensions. As governments face increasing pressures to demonstrate accountability, effectiveness, and transparency, the concept of public value serves as a vital lens through which to evaluate the performance of public services. In an era marked by rapid societal change and increasing public scrutiny, the concept of public value has emerged as a cornerstone in the discourse surrounding governance and public administration (Sami et al., 2016). At its core, public value refers to the benefits and outcomes that public sector organizations deliver to society, encompassing economic, social, and environmental dimensions. As governments grapple with complex challenges ranging from fiscal constraints to evolving citizen expectations the ability to define, measure, and enhance public value becomes critical for effective governance. This paper seeks to explore the multi-faceted nature of public value, focusing on its theoretical frameworks and practical implications for public sector organizations.

The significance of public value is underscored by its capacity to bridge the gap between traditional bureaucratic practices and contemporary demands for accountability and responsiveness (Irfan et al., 2018). As public institutions strive to demonstrate their relevance and effectiveness, they must adopt frameworks that facilitate a comprehensive understanding of value creation. Theoretical constructs such as Public Value Theory, Institutional Theory, Stakeholder Theory, and the Social Value Framework provide valuable insights into how public value can be conceptualized and operationalized (Ali et al., 2017). These frameworks not only enhance our understanding of public value but also offer strategic guidance for public managers seeking to align their efforts with the needs and aspirations of citizens.

Moreover, the practical implications of defining public value are far-reaching. By adopting methodologies for measuring public value, such as Citizen Surveys and Social Return on Investment (SROI), public sector organizations can evaluate their performance and identify areas for improvement. Engaging with stakeholders in meaningful ways fosters collaboration and ensures that services are tailored to meet the diverse needs of communities (Farooq et al., 2017). Effective communication

strategies further enhance public understanding and support for government initiatives, ultimately building trust between citizens and their institutions.

So, the exploration of public value through its theoretical foundations and practical applications—serves as a vital inquiry for scholars and practitioners alike (Irum et al., 2018). By understanding and enhancing public value, public sector organizations can navigate the complexities of modern governance, ensuring that they not only meet the immediate needs of citizens but also contribute to long-term societal well-being (Irfan et al., 2017). This paper aims to elucidate these themes, providing a comprehensive overview of the significance of public value in today's dynamic public sector landscape.

This paper begin with a review of existing literature on public value, highlighting key theoretical frameworks. Then it discussed the practical implications of these frameworks for public sector organizations, focusing on strategies for measuring and enhancing public value.

### THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

#### Public Value Theory

Public Value Theory, primarily articulated by Mark Moore in his seminal work "Creating Public Value," serves as a foundational framework for understanding how public managers can create value within their organizations. Moore's concept revolves around the "strategic triangle," which encompasses three critical elements: public value, operational capacity, and legitimacy. Public value refers to the benefits that services provide to society, operational capacity denotes the resources and capabilities of the organization, and legitimacy represents the support and trust that stakeholders have in public institutions (Liaquat et al., 2016). The interplay among these elements is essential for effective governance, as public managers must navigate the complexities of delivering services that meet societal needs while also ensuring that their organizations possess the necessary resources and maintain public trust.

At the heart of Public Value Theory is the idea that public sector organizations are not merely service providers but value creators. This shift in perspective encourages public managers to adopt a broader view of their roles, focusing not only on efficiency and effectiveness but also on the societal impact of their actions (Saeed et al., 2018). By prioritizing public value, managers can align their objectives with the aspirations of citizens,



fostering a more responsive and accountable public sector. This approach underscores the importance of understanding community needs and incorporating stakeholder feedback into the decision-making process, thereby enhancing the relevance and effectiveness of public services.

Moreover, Public Value Theory emphasizes the necessity of balancing the interests of various stakeholders, including citizens, government officials, and other community members. This requires public managers to engage in ongoing dialogue and collaboration, ensuring that diverse perspectives are considered in the development and delivery of services. By recognizing the importance of stakeholder engagement, Public Value Theory provides a framework for building trust and legitimacy, which are crucial for the sustainability of public institutions. The theory posits that when public value is created in a manner that resonates with the community, it fosters a sense of ownership and commitment among citizens, ultimately leading to improved governance and societal outcomes.

In summary, Public Value Theory offers a comprehensive lens through which to examine the role of public sector organizations in creating societal benefits. By focusing on the strategic triangle of public value, operational capacity, and legitimacy, public managers can better navigate the complexities of governance. This approach not only highlights the importance of stakeholder engagement but also reinforces the notion that effective public administration is fundamentally about creating and sustaining value for the public good (Liaquat et al., 2017). As the challenges facing governments evolve, the principles of Public Value Theory remain vital for guiding public sector organizations in their quest to enhance community well-being and foster trust in government.

## Institutional Theory

Institutional Theory provides another perspective on public value by emphasizing the role of institutions in shaping values and behaviors in the public sector. Scott (1995) identifies three pillars of institutions: regulative, normative, and cultural-cognitive. These pillars influence how public value is perceived and enacted within different contexts, highlighting the importance of institutional legitimacy and the alignment of organizational practices with societal expectations.

Institutional Theory provides a valuable framework for understanding how institutions shape behavior, practices, and values within the public sector. Rooted in sociology and organizational studies, this theory posits that institutions defined as established laws, norms, and practices create stability and legitimacy within social structures. In the context of public administration, Institutional Theory emphasizes the role of formal and informal institutions in influencing how public value is defined, perceived, and enacted (Ali et al., 2018). It suggests that public sector organizations do not operate in isolation but are embedded in a broader institutional environment that dictates acceptable behaviors and shapes organizational identities.

One of the key contributions of Institutional Theory is the identification of three pillars that underpin institutions: regulative, normative, and cultural-cognitive. The regulative pillar encompasses the formal rules and regulations that govern organizational behavior, while the normative pillar refers to the values and norms that guide actions and expectations within a society. The cultural-cognitive pillar addresses the shared beliefs and understandings that shape how individuals and organizations perceive reality (Sami et al., 2018). In the realm of public value, these pillars illustrate how external pressures such as laws, societal expectations, and cultural norms—can influence the priorities and practices of public sector organizations. By understanding these institutional dynamics, public managers can navigate the complexities of governance more effectively, ensuring that their initiatives align with both legal requirements and societal values.

Moreover, Institutional Theory underscores the importance of legitimacy in the public sector. For public organizations to be effective and to create meaningful public value, they must be viewed as legitimate by their stakeholders. This legitimacy is often achieved through adherence to institutional norms and practices, as well as by actively engaging with the community to understand its needs and expectations (Bhatti et al., 2018). As public sector organizations face increasing scrutiny and demands for accountability, Institutional Theory highlights the necessity of cultivating legitimacy through transparency, stakeholder engagement, and

responsive governance. By aligning their operations with institutional expectations, public organizations can enhance their credibility and ultimately foster greater public trust, which is essential for the sustainable delivery of public value.

## Stakeholder Theory

Stakeholder Theory, as articulated by Freeman (1984), underscores the importance of considering the diverse interests of stakeholders in the creation of public value. Public sector organizations must engage with various stakeholders—including citizens, employees, and interest groups—to understand their needs and expectations. This approach broadens the definition of public value to include not only the outcomes of public services but also the processes through which those outcomes are achieved.

Stakeholder Theory offers a critical lens through which to understand public value creation in the realm of public administration. In this context, public sector organizations are seen not only as service providers but also as entities that must engage with a diverse array of stakeholders, including citizens, community groups, employees, and policymakers. By recognizing the interests and perspectives of these stakeholders, public managers can craft strategies that not only enhance service delivery but also align with the broader aspirations of the community. This inclusive approach to governance reinforces the idea that public value is co-created, as the input from various stakeholders enriches the decision-making process and ensures that public services reflect the needs of the population they serve.

A key tenet of Stakeholder Theory is the ethical obligation of public organizations to engage meaningfully with stakeholders. This engagement fosters transparency and accountability, essential components in building public trust and legitimacy. By actively involving stakeholders in the formulation and evaluation of policies and services, public managers can better identify priorities and address concerns (Ullah et al., 2018). For instance, participatory budgeting initiatives, where citizens have a direct say in allocating public funds, exemplify how stakeholder engagement can lead to enhanced public value. Such collaborative processes not only empower communities but also cultivate a sense of ownership, resulting in services that are more effective and responsive to actual needs.

Moreover, Stakeholder Theory highlights the importance of balancing competing interests among various stakeholder groups. Public sector organizations often face the challenge of addressing the differing, sometimes conflicting, needs of stakeholders while striving to create public value. By adopting a stakeholder-oriented approach, public managers can facilitate dialogue among groups to seek common ground and develop solutions that maximize benefits for the broader community. This proactive engagement can mitigate conflicts and foster long-term relationships built on trust and cooperation. Ultimately, the application of Stakeholder Theory within the framework of public value underscores the necessity of a collaborative governance model, where public sector organizations work hand-in-hand with stakeholders to enhance societal well-being and ensure that public resources are utilized effectively and equitably.

## Social Value Framework

The Social Value Framework expands the notion of public value to encompass social equity and community well-being. This perspective emphasizes the importance of social capital, community engagement, and participatory governance in creating public value. Scholars like Nicholls (2009) argue that measuring public value should include assessments of social impact, stakeholder engagement, and the long-term sustainability of public services.

The Social Value Framework provides a vital perspective on public value by emphasizing the importance of social outcomes and community well-being in the evaluation of public sector performance. This framework extends beyond traditional metrics of efficiency and effectiveness, advocating for a broader understanding of value that includes social equity, environmental sustainability, and community engagement. In the context of public value, the Social Value Framework encourages public sector organizations to assess the impact of their services not only in terms of outputs but also in terms of the positive changes they facilitate in the lives of individuals and communities. This holistic approach ensures that public initiatives align with the diverse needs of stakeholders and contribute to the overall quality of life. Moreover, the Social Value Framework underscores the role of collaboration



and stakeholder engagement in creating meaningful public value. By actively involving community members in the design and implementation of services, public organizations can gain insights into local needs and aspirations, thereby enhancing the relevance and effectiveness of their interventions. This participatory approach fosters a sense of ownership among citizens, which is crucial for the sustainability of public programs (Bibi et al., 2018). As public managers implement this framework, they can measure social value through various indicators, such as community health, educational attainment, and social cohesion, ensuring that public services not only meet immediate demands but also contribute to long-term societal goals. In this way, the Social Value Framework becomes a powerful tool for enhancing public value, promoting a more inclusive and equitable approach to governance.

## PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS

### Measuring Public Value

The measurement of public value remains a significant challenge for public sector organizations. Various methodologies, such as Balanced Scorecards, Citizen Surveys, and Social Return on Investment (SROI), have been developed to assess public value. These tools can help organizations quantify their impact, identify areas for improvement, and communicate their value to stakeholders.

Measuring public value is crucial for public sector organizations aiming to demonstrate their effectiveness and accountability to stakeholders. Practical implications of public value measurement involve adopting methodologies that can quantify the diverse impacts of services on communities. Tools such as Citizen Surveys, Social Return on Investment (SROI), and Balanced Scorecards allow organizations to assess not only financial outcomes but also social and environmental benefits. By employing these methodologies, public managers can identify areas of success and opportunities for improvement, enabling them to align their strategies with community needs more effectively. This focus on measurement helps build public trust, as stakeholders can see tangible evidence of how public resources are being utilized to create value.

Additionally, measuring public value facilitates informed decision-making and prioritization of resources within public organizations. By analyzing data on service impacts, public managers can make evidence-based choices about program design and funding allocation, ensuring that the initiatives with the highest potential for positive outcomes are prioritized. Furthermore, transparent reporting of public value metrics can enhance stakeholder engagement, encouraging community members to participate in discussions about service delivery and resource management. Ultimately, a robust approach to measuring public value not only informs better governance but also strengthens the relationship between public sector organizations and the communities they serve, fostering a collaborative environment focused on shared goals and sustainable development.

### Enhancing Public Value

To enhance public value, public sector organizations must adopt a proactive approach to stakeholder engagement and collaboration. By involving citizens in the design and delivery of services, organizations can better align their efforts with community needs. Furthermore, fostering a culture of innovation and continuous improvement within public institutions can lead to more effective service delivery and increased public trust.

Enhancing public value requires public sector organizations to adopt proactive strategies that prioritize stakeholder engagement and collaboration. By actively involving citizens in the design, delivery, and evaluation of services, public managers can ensure that their initiatives are aligned with community needs and preferences. Participatory approaches, such as public forums, focus groups, and collaborative decision-making processes, not only empower citizens but also foster a sense of ownership and commitment to public initiatives. This engagement helps to build trust between government entities and the community, enhancing the legitimacy of public services and encouraging greater public participation in governance.

Furthermore, fostering a culture of innovation within public sector

organizations can significantly enhance public value. By encouraging employees to experiment with new ideas and approaches, organizations can develop more effective and efficient solutions to complex societal challenges. Implementing mechanisms for continuous feedback and improvement, such as performance evaluations and citizen satisfaction surveys, allows public managers to refine their services over time. Additionally, leveraging technology and data analytics can facilitate more responsive service delivery and better resource allocation. In this way, enhancing public value becomes an ongoing process, where organizations actively seek to adapt and evolve in response to the changing needs of their communities, ultimately leading to improved outcomes and greater societal well-being.

### Communicating Public Value

Effective communication of public value is essential for fostering transparency and accountability. Public sector organizations should develop communication strategies that highlight their contributions to societal well-being. This includes storytelling techniques that illustrate the impact of services on individuals and communities, thereby enhancing public understanding and support for government initiatives.

Communicating public value effectively is essential for public sector organizations to build transparency, trust, and accountability with their stakeholders. By clearly articulating the impacts and benefits of their services, public organizations can enhance public understanding of their role and contributions to the community. This communication can take various forms, including reports, social media campaigns, community meetings, and visual storytelling techniques that highlight real-life examples of service outcomes. By framing their achievements in terms of public value—such as improved quality of life, enhanced social cohesion, and economic benefits—organizations can foster a stronger connection with citizens, reinforcing the importance of public services in addressing community needs.

Moreover, effective communication strategies enable public sector organizations to engage stakeholders in a meaningful dialogue about their services and initiatives. By soliciting feedback and inviting community input, organizations can demonstrate that they value citizen perspectives and are committed to responsive governance. This two-way communication not only enhances public trust but also encourages greater civic participation and collaboration in the decision-making process. As public managers leverage various communication channels to share information and solicit feedback, they can cultivate a sense of ownership among citizens, ultimately leading to more successful and sustainable public value outcomes. By prioritizing clear and open communication, public organizations can ensure that their efforts are recognized and supported by the communities they serve.

## CONCLUSION

Defining public value is crucial for understanding the role of public sector organizations in contemporary society. Theoretical frameworks such as Public Value Theory, Institutional Theory, Stakeholder Theory, and the Social Value Framework provide valuable insights into the multi-dimensional nature of public value. By embracing these frameworks, public sector organizations can enhance their ability to measure, communicate, and create public value, ultimately leading to improved governance and citizen satisfaction.



## References

- Ali, A., Bashir, M., Munib, M., Saqib, S., & Sami, A. (2018). Assessing the efficiency of water and sanitation agencies of Punjab, Pakistan: a benchmarking approach. *Journal of Managerial Sciences*, XI (3), 245-254.
- Ali, A., Bashir, M., Saleem, S., Muhtada, G., Saqib, S., & Sami, A. (2017). Leadership Styles and Burnout in Punjab Police: A Case Study of Faisalabad Division. *Abasyn Journal of Social Sciences–Special Issue: AIC*, 234-249.
- Ali, A., Riaz, W., Baig, S. A., Saqib, S., & Sami, A. (2017). Evaluating the Efficiency of Major Local Governments of Punjab (Pakistan) in Revenue Generation by Using a Yardstick Approach. *Abasyn Journal of Social Sciences–Special Issue*, 226-233.
- Ali, A., Saleem, S., Bashir, M., Riaz, W., & Sami, A. (2017). Mediating Role of Citizens' Participation between Public Service Motivation, Political Interference and Local Government Performance: A Case Study of Municipalities of Punjab. *Abasyn Journal of Social Sciences–Special Issue: AIC*, 2017, 250-264.
- Bhatti, M. N., Sami, A., & Qureshi, I. (2018). Personality and academic performance among graduate students. *Asia Proceedings of Social Sciences*, 2(3), 256-259.
- Bibi, A., Bukhari, S., Sami, A., Irfan, A., & Liaquat, H. (2018). Effect of latest technology and social media on interpersonal communication on youth of Balochistan. *Journal of Managerial Sciences*, XI (3), 475-490.
- Esposito, P., & Ricci, P. (2015). How to turn public (dis) value into new public value? Evidence from Italy. *Public Money & Management*, 35(3), 227- 231.
- Farooq, M., Saeed, A., Ahmad, K., Ata, G., & Sami, A. (2017). Making Sense of Electronic Media Regulatory Agencies: A Case of PEMRA. *Journal of Managerial Sciences*, 11(3), 437-456.
- Fisher, J., & Grant, B. (2013). Public value: Recovering the ethical for public sector managers. *International Journal of public administration*, 36(4), 248-255.
- Franz, N. K. (2011). Advancing the public value movement: Sustaining Extension during tough times. *Journal of Extension*, 49(2), 2COM2.
- Freeman, R. E. (1984). *Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach*. Pitman.
- Grimsley, M., & Meehan, A. (2007). e-Government information systems: Evaluation-led design for public value and client trust. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 16(2), 134-148.
- Harrison, T. M., Guerrero, S., Burke, G. B., Cook, M., Cresswell, A., Helbig, N., ... & Pardo, T. (2012). Open government and e-government: Democratic challenges from a public value perspective. *Information Policy*, 17(2), 83-97.
- Hartley, J., Alford, J., Hughes, O., & Yates, S. (2015). Public value and political astuteness in the work of public managers: The art of the possible. *Public Administration*, 93(1), 195-211.
- Hui, G., & Hayllar, M. R. (2010). Creating public value in e-Government: A public-private-citizen collaboration framework in Web 2.0. *Australian Journal of Public Administration*, 69, S120-S131.
- Stoker, G. (2006). Public value management: A new narrative for networked governance?. *The American review of public administration*, 36(1), 41-
- Irfan, A., Rasli, A., Sami, A., & Liaquat, H. (2017). Role of social media in promoting education tourism. *Advanced Science Letters*, 23(9), 8728-8731.
- Irfan, A., Rasli, A., Sulaiman, Z., Sami, A., & Qureshi, M. I. (2018). Use of social media sites by Malaysian universities and its impact on university ranking. *International Journal of engineering and Technology*, 7(4.28), 67-71.
- Irum, S., Qureshi, M. I., Ashfaq, M., Sami, A., Bhatti, M. N., & Umar, A. (2018). A review of green supply chain management practices in Asian countries. *International Journal of Engineering & Technology*, 7(2.29), 1094-1096.
- Jørgensen, T. B., & Bozeman, B. (2007). Public values: An inventory. *Administration & Society*, 39(3), 354-381.
- Kalambokidis, L. (2003). *Identifying the public value in Extension programs*. Department of Applied Economics, College of Agricultural, Food, and Environmental Sciences, University of Minnesota.
- Karunasena, K., & Deng, H. (2012). Critical factors for evaluating the public value of e-government in Sri Lanka. *Government Information Quarterly*, 29(1), 76-84.
- Kelly, G., Mulgan, G., & Muers, S. (2002). *Creating Public Value: An analytical framework for public service reform*. London: Strategy Unit, Cabinet Office.
- Liaquat, H., Gul, N., Irfan, A., & Sami, A. (2016). Pakistan's exports efficiency: An application of the stochastic frontier gravity model. *Abasyn Journal of Social Sciences–Special Issue*, 164-177.
- Liaquat, H., Irfan, A., & Sami, A. (2017). Technical efficiency and its determinants: A case study of Faisalabad textile industry. *City University Research Journal, Special Issue*, 183-194.
- Meynhardt, T. (2009). Public value inside: What is public value creation?. *Intl Journal of Public Administration*, 32(3-4), 192-219.
- Moore, M. H. (1995). *Creating public value: Strategic management in government*. Harvard university press.
- Morse, R. S. (2010). Integrative public leadership: Catalyzing collaboration to create public value. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 21(2), 231-245.
- Nabatchi, T. (2012). Putting the "public" back in public values research: Designing participation to identify and respond to values. *Public Administration Review*, 72(5), 699-708.
- Nicholls, J. (2009). We Do Good Things, Don't We? The Problem with Social Value. In *Social Enterprise Journal*.
- O'Flynn, J. (2007). From new public management to public value: Paradigmatic change and managerial implications. *Australian journal of public administration*, 66(3), 353-366.
- Prebble, M. (2012). Public value and the ideal state: Rescuing public value from ambiguity. *Australian Journal of Public Administration*, 71(4), 392-402.
- Reynaers, A. M. (2014). Public values in public-private partnerships. *Public Administration Review*, 74(1), 41-50.
- Rhodes, R. A., & Wanna, J. (2007). The limits to public value, or rescuing responsible government from the platonic guardians. *Australian Journal of Public Administration*, 66(4), 406-421.
- Saeed, A., Sajid, H., Ata, G., Rathore, K., & Sami, A. Making Sense of Current Power Policies in Pakistan. *Journal of Managerial Sciences*, 11(3), 457-474.
- Sami, A., Jusoh, A., & Qureshi, M. I. (2016). Does ethical leadership create public value? Empirical evidences from banking sector of Pakistan. *International Review of Management and Marketing*, 6(4), 262-270.
- Sami, A., Jusoh, A., Mahfar, M., Qureshi, M. I., & Khan, M. M. (2016). Role of ethical culture in creating public value. *International Review of Management and Marketing*, 6(4), 255-261.
- Sami, A., Jusoh, A., Nor, K. M., Irfan, A., & Qureshi, M. I. (2018). Systematic review of public value. *Journal of Public Value and Administration Insights*, 1(1), 1-6.
- Sami, A., Jusoh, A., Nor, K. M., Irfan, A., Irum, S., Qureshi, M. I., & Ishfaq, M. (2018). Professionalism is the Key to Create Public Value. *International Journal of Engineering & Technology*, 7(3.30), 583-586.
- Sami, A., Jusoh, A., Nor, K. M., Irfan, A., Liaquat, H., & Md Nor, K. (2018). Identification of public value dimensions in Pakistan's public sector organizations. *European Proceedings of Social and Behavioural Sciences*, 40.
- Scott, C. A. (Ed.). (2016). *Museums and public value: creating sustainable futures*. Routledge.
- Scott, W. R. (1995). *Institutions and Organizations*. Sage Publications.
- Smith, R. F. I. (2004). Focusing on public value: Something new and something old. *Australian Journal of Public Administration*, 63(4), 68-79.
- Staples, W. (2010). Public value in public sector infrastructure procurement. Steenhuisen, B., & van Eeten, M. (2008). Invisible trade-offs of public values:
- Talbot, C. (2009). Public value—The next "big thing" in public management?. Try, D., & Radnor, Z. (2007). Developing an understanding of results-based
- Ullah, A., Baharun, R., Nor, K., Siddique, M., & Sami, A. (2018). Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) Systems and User Performance (UP). *Journal of Managerial Sciences*, 11 (3), 377- 390



